The position appears to be briefly as follows:-

2. In its original form the agreement which subsequently became the destroyers-bases deal provided for the lease to P.A.A. of facilities in various British territories in the Caribbean and elsewhere. It was then necessary to reserve. on behalf of British aviation the right to use on har terms any facilities thus granted to P.A.A. The proposal to pelease to P.A.A. was in due course dropped in favour of the present agreement, and H.M.G. now wish to exclude P.A.A. from the use of the facilities which we have granted to the U.S. naval and military authorities.

3. Meanwhile, however, we had on two occasions, August 8th and September 26th, in writing to the S.D. formally reserved the right of any British air transport undertaking to utilize facilities established by American interests on British soil. This, as the F.O. point out, at least implies that we consider that P.A.A. have the right to use the facilities too. In replying to our note of September 26th, the S.D. comment on this aspect of the question as follows:-

"In reply you are advised in view of the present projects to establish Army and Navy bases on areas to be leased from the British Covernment, that the original plans to have Pan American Airways construct certain facilities on British soil have been abandoned with the exception of seaplane facilities at Port of Spain, Trinidad. Pan American Airways plans to expand its seaplane facilities at Port of Spain, Trinidad, on behalf of the War Department, but such facilities will be commercial in character and not under the control of the United States Government. It is not contemplated that commercial aircraft will be authorized to operate from any of the Army and Navy bases to be constructed in the leased areas, except in case of emergencies or for strictly military purposes, under supervision of the War and Navy Departments. Should arrangements be made at some future time, however, to permit American commercial aircraft to operate from these bases, sympathetic consideration will then be given to the granting of similar facilities to British air transport undertakings at reasonable commercial charges".

4. Thus, the U.S.G., while saying that they do not intend to allow commercial aircraft to use the naval and military bases at present, envisage to possibility of doing so in the future, and promise that in that case similar facilities will be granted to British aviation. thus in the awkward position of having to ask the S.D. to refuse to their own aviation what we have been pressing them to grant to ours. I think that all we can do is to reply to the S.D. taking note of this passage in their communication. and saying that in view of subsequent developments we assume that U.S.G. will consult with H.M.G. before making any use other than military of the bases. The S.D. note has a new factor which was not present when F.O. despatch No. 84 (see 3044/1/40) was written, and perhaps our proposed reply to the S.D. should be referred to the F.O. for their concurrence before despatch. The alternatur would be to take we busher action here + by leave it to be collected a be leases are discussed

WGH: NB

(112) 8787/250 2,000,000 4/34 JC&SLad Gp 644/229

2971/5/40 2971/7/40

facilities for P.A.A. at Port of Spain, to which reference is made in the S.D.'s note. I have not been able to lay my hand on the papers in regard to this, but I think we can assume that the contract with P.A.A. would provide for reciprocity. Perhaps the A.A. could elucidate this point.

Notatale!

With regard

21st January, 1941.

Arr Attaché but
Mr. Butler MB-36

Mink we must watch this question most carefully. If we don't we may well find ourselves unable to use civil as transport facilities on our own livitory. P.A.A. night for transple be able to operate a last hairs sowie, refuelling on as it were, our livitory of we

Could say asching!

I do not feel that the assurance

green by Mr Hull on page 5 of his letter of

Beck 30 is at all satisfactory. At any time,

the U. 5. Good way permit answear Commercial

aircraft to openle from the new bases, but we

will only "receive sympathetic consideration of we

with to use them. Can we afford, as Mr Hayles

saggests, to let their malter lie? I would strongly

adoese we attempt in some way to revert to it

thankeit clear to 5.D, that we think it a very unfair

point of west to adopt. There is very little space on

these whands for airports, our some cases the only

possible one will be the U.S. base one. Thus PAA.

Could sun a land place service to bernada and

be conesti! Of course it is a malter of self-interest

And while the Comins Dission of the S.D. are all al

for a monopoly for U.S. acrition, buleps M" Stell world

secardes the maller. I suggest we aught surrously

to concer no best come of action to news of a

small confuerce withithe Chancery before taking

an action will 5. D. or F.O. E. JA.

According to the short of the s